```
ATTORNEY NAME (BAR #)
 1
    ADDRESS
 2
    Attorney for Plaintiffs
 3
 4
                              SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
                                    MARICOPA COUNTY
 5
    C.B., a minor, by and through
 6
    his parents L.B. and E.B.,
 7
           PLAINTIFFS.
 8
                 V.
                                                  No.
 9
    XXXXXXXXXX, Director,
                                                  MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
10
    Arizona Health Care Cost Containment )
                                                  MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED
11
    System, in her official capacity, Arizona )
                                                  IN PSEUDONYM
    Health Care Cost Containment System, )
12
    a state agency; XXXXXXX, Acting
                                                  (Judicial Review)
    Director, Arizona Department of Economic )
13
    Security, in his official capacity; Arizona
14
    Department of Economic Security, a
    state agency;
15
           DEFENDANTS.
16
17
           Plaintiff C. B. is a minor child, and because this case involves sensitive and
18
    deeply personal health issues regarding the appropriateness or inappropriateness of
19
    care provided to Plaintiff C. B., or the legality of a denial of such care, Plaintiffs
20
21
    respectfully move this Court to permit them to proceed in pseudonym. Further, this
22
    complaint is concerning health issues affecting the public interest and may attract
23
    significant publicity. For the above reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully move this Court to
24
    permit them to proceed in pseudonym.
25
           In the abortion cases, Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973), and Doe v. Bolton,
26
    410 U.S. 179 (S.D.N.Y. 1973), the Supreme Court implicitly recognized that it is proper
```

27

1	for plaintiffs to use fictitious names in certain circumstances. See Roe v. Ingraham, 364
2	F.Supp. 536 n. 7 (S.D.N.Y. 1973). As a three judge court noted in <u>Doe v. Deschamps</u> ,
3	64 F.R.D. 652 (D. Mont. 1974):
4	Since [Roe v. New York], a host of cases have been prosecuted under fictitious names. Sometimes the fact of the fictitious name is noted and other times it is not, but it is clear that a practice has developed permitting individuals to sue under fictitious names where the issues involved are matters of a sensitive and highly personal nature.
5	
6	
7	
8	The issues at stake here are Amatters of a sensitive and highly personal nature.
9	The State of Arizona has recognized the importance of protecting the confidentiality of
10	the identity of children, and private health care issues.
11	For these reasons, Plaintiffs should be permitted to proceed in pseudonym.
12 13	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of March, 2003.
13	
15	
16	ATTORNEY NAME ADDRESS
17	Attorney for Plaintiffs
18	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed
19	this day of March, 2003 with:
20	Superior Court of Arizona 201 W. Jefferson, 4 th Floor
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85003
22	By:
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28